

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/273,238	03/19/1999	JAMES GOODWIN	003829.P003	6862
7	590 11/20/2002			
MICHAEL J MALLIE BLAKELEY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			EXAMINER	
			JUNG, MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2663	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				We.		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	)		
		09 <i>1</i> 273,238	GOODWIN E	ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Min Jung	2663			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	ion appears on the cover	sheet with the corresponden	ce address		
	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	REPLY IS SET TO EXP	PIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM			
THE M Extensing after SI - If the period of the period	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, to be set of the office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howen  ation.  ys, a reply within the statutory min  y period will apply and will expire  by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considere SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this communication.		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>19 March 1999</u> .	•			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)	★ This action is non-fi	nal.			
• —	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	•	· •			
·	n of Claims	ı. ".				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-80</u> is/are pending in the appl					
_	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consider	ation.	<i>:</i>		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
<u></u>	Claim(s) <u>1-80</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election require	ment.			
Applicatio	•	•				
	ne specification is objected to by the Ex					
•	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	,	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection		•			
	ne proposed drawing correction filed on		•	aminer.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are require		tion.	,		
, —	ne oath or declaration is objected to by	tne Examiner.				
	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[_	All b) Some * c) None of:					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation e the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	onal Stage		
14)  Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for de	omestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provis	sional application).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign langua					
Attachment(s	s)					
2) X Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pap Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	•		
6. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.	emark Office	office Action Summary	· <del></del>	Part of Paper No. 6		

Application/Control Number: 09/273,238 Page 2

Art Unit: 2663

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1-16 recite "split transaction". This feature is not clearly described in the specification. Specification pages 59-64 contain explanation of operation involving "split transaction". However, it is not described anywhere what these 'split transactions' are. Is it implying that a transaction is some kind of unit of communication session, and that the session is split into more than one separate session?
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2663

In claim 1, lines 4 and 5, "a bus device" and "the device", respectively, lack clear antecedent basis; are they both referring to the "a device coupled to a bus over a network" recited in preamble?

In claim 11, lines 4-7, the clause is tangled, and therefore, is unclear in meaning; if the sequence of the functions are important, it should be clearly recited to reflect such aspect.

Claims 13 and 15 have the similar kind of indefiniteness as claim 11 addressed above.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 11-17, 19, 21-23, 26-29, 31-32, 34-36, 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Xu et al., 6,151,628 (Xu).

Art Unit: 2663

Xu discloses a method for connecting a source of digital data to a computer network in which a tunneling call acceptance procedure is taught. See Fig. 6. Xu specifically teaches a write request (incoming call 100 and the access request 102), acknowledge complete (call accept), encapsulating the write request into packets with tunneling header (incoming call request with dialing number of the remote user, the telephone number dialed, and a subaddress 106), transmitting the packets over the network (IP packet transfer 128), and receiving a response that write request data has been delivered to a network host (access reply 104). See col. 11, line 20 – col. 12, line 11.

Further, note that the terms such as "immediately beginning ----", or "sends---- as soon as----" have not been given much weight in interpreting the claims since such expressions of timing are relative, and does not specifically limit the claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 18, 20, 24-25, 30, 33, 37, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu in view of Gerszberg et al., 6,480,748 (Gerszberg).

Xu fails to specifically teach IEEE 1394 devices. Gerszberg teaches IEEE 1394 bus and devices used in conjunction with tunneling scheme used in access network.

Art Unit: 2663

See Figs. 2, 5, 9, 14 and 15. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the teaching of Xu by adopting the IEEE 1394 devices as taught by Gerszberg since it was known to use IEEE device and bus for connecting to a network using tunneling scheme.

9. Claims 6, 7, 9, 10, 42, 43, 45-49, 51-60, 62-72, 74-75, 77-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chau et al., 6,233,232 (Chau).

Chau discloses architecture for connecting a plurality of telephone lines to a computer network. Specifically, Chau teaches a network (130), a bus (140, 142, 144), a bus device coupled to the bus (computer system 150, 152, 154), an interface (network access server 100, 110, 120) coupling the network to the bus, the interface tunneling bus events over the network to and from the bus device by encapsulating bus events generated by the bus device into packets and transferring the encapsulated bus events over the network for subsequent decapsulation to recreate the bus events. See col. 6, line 48-54, and col. 7, lines 47-49.

Chau fails to specifically teach sending an announcement packet over the network that encapsulates bus event corresponding to a bus reconfiguration process for the bus. However, Chau teaches establishing multilink connections through multiple network access servers. This process involves receiving request for multilink connection and allocating logical and physical ports for connections establishment. See Fig. 13 and col. 13, lines 16-34. This process is a configuration in logical sense. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Art Unit: 2663

implement the connection establishment of Chau by sending bus configuration command.

Chau further fails to teach a Universal Serial Bus (USB) device coupled to the bus. USB device is well known in the field of the invention as recognized by applicant in the present specification page 3. It refers to a device conforming to the Universal Serial Bus Standard. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Chau's system by specifically utilizing USB device as the bus device.

10. Claims 8, 44, 50, 61, 73, 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chau in view of Gerszberg.

Chau fails to specifically teach IEEE 1394 bus. Gerszberg teaches IEEE 1394 bus and devices used in conjunction with tunneling scheme used in access network. See Figs. 2, 5, 9, 14 and 15. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the teaching of Chau by adopting IEEE 1394 devices of Gerszberg since it is well known in Gerszberg to utilize IEEE 1394 devices in tunneling scheme.

# Allowable Subject Matter

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest method comprising: capturing the one or more bus events corresponding to a split transaction (?) generated by a bus device and sending an indication or response to the device that the transaction has been completed

Art Unit: 2663

using a network interface; encapsulating the captured bus events into packets associated with a network protocols using the network interface <u>after sending</u> the indication or response; and sending the packets over the network to a remote site, where the one or more bus events encapsulated in the packets are decapsulated in order to recreate the one or more bus events.

#### Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Araujo et al. Patent, 6,301,229, the Chau et al. Patent, 6,147,987, the Chau Patent, 6,026,085, the Steinka et al. Patent, 6,285,680, the Batz et al. Patent, 5,918,022, the Araujo et al patent, 6,118,785, and the Comstock patent, 6,452,920 are cited for further references.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 703-305-4363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2663

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

MJ

November 15, 2002

Min Jung

**Primary Examiner**